

SPACE TRAVEL  
**URANUS**  
FLYING SAUCERS

FIRST  
EDITOR:  
E. BIDDLE

EDITED BY.....DAVID WIGHTMAN

---

Vol. 4, No.1

August, 1957

---

"Feudin and a Fussin..." .. .. .	122
Saucers. Satan or Sorcerers? by Gerald H. Fellows .. .. .	124
The Luminosity of Flying Saucers by C. F. Krafft .. .. .	127
Two points of view! .. .. .	129
A Flurry of Activity .. .. .	132
A New Key to the U.F.O's Appearances .. .. .	134
A letter from Mr. Edgar Sievers .. .. .	136
Odd Bits .. .. .	137

PUBLISHED EVERY SECOND MONTH - POST FREE 1/10D OR 35C  
MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD., 31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3

SUBSCRIPTION RATE (6 ISSUES) 10/6 OR \$1.50

FOR RATES IN WEST EUROPEAN CURRENCIES APPLY TO THE PUBLISHERS

---

THE EDITOR'S PAGE

A reminder.....All letters, articles etc. to the editor at: 'Vimana', Sandy Lane, Hindley, Nr. Wigan, Lancs.

MR. SCHAUBERGER, A CORRECTION

Owing to an oversight the note on Viktor Schauberger which appeared in the last issue of URANUS made it appear as if your editor had met him. This is not the case, the information came from Mr. Egerton Sykes who is editor of our sister journal PENDULUM, and who has been acquainted with Mr. Schauberger for some years. In the rush of going to press the inaccuracy was not noticed.  
ED.

"FEUDIN AND A - FUSSIN"..

Jim Moseley, editor of "Saucer News" is well known in the Saucer world for his expressed belief that the majority of unexplained UFO reports can be attributed to experimental craft designed and constructed on the Earth, most of them in the U.S.A. under the auspices of "The organization." (See "Saucer News"). In an article of this magazine Moseley gave out some details of information he had had in his possession for some time, or at least he said so. The information concerned the craft, their purpose and their operators and certainly made for interesting reading though many flaws became evident as one went along. As a matter of fact the theme of the article was punched full of holes by several persons, your own editor amongst them but Jim Moseley didn't give very much space to opposing views and only a few brief extracts from readers letters appeared. "Saucer News" has always maintained a high standard and is very rarely dull to read, in fact the exact opposite is the case for there always appears to be at least one article of especial interest, often of a startling new development in the mystery. Now this in itself is something to be wondered at for as genuine researchers know spectacular developments in the Saucer mystery do not occur very often, this fact alone is sufficient to

sow a small seed of suspicion in the mind of a more discerning reader. The May-June issue of Moseley's mag' contained a certain amount of "fertilizer" for this seed in the shape of an article by one Thomas Comella, in this the author goes to great lengths to elaborate on the different types of researcher who have invaded the field of Flying Saucers. The paragraphs were lettered and the most significant of all these (as far as this article is concerned) was ("E"), sub-titled "Confidential Collaboration." The following is an abbreviated text of this para': "This tactic of UFO researchers is not known by many readers, but I know about it for I have been a party to such collaborations. It is a method designed to force reactions and information into the open and this is accomplished by the two researchers agreeing beforehand to take issue with each other in print. One may drop subtle hints about the other or it may take on the appearance of an outright feud. Readers noticing the controversy will come forth with information pro and con and this is just what the two participants hope for."

At this point the older readers may recall that Moseley has himself been the subject of a mild form of "feud", his antagonist being none other than Gray Barker, editor and publisher of "Saucerian Bulletin" and "Saucer Review." In their respective journals these two gentlemen have had several "digs" at one another. To an "outsider" who reads the latest issue of G.B.'s "Saucerian Bulletin" it will appear that the ed' of this publication may have at last got "the drop" on his adversary and at the same time solved a long standing mystery.

Briefly what has happened is this; In this issue of Barker's bulletin appears a letter originally sent in confidence to a new saucer journal, "Cosmic News". The editors of this magazine saw fit not to publish the letter, indeed the writer made it quite plain that it would be unwise for them to do so. Instead they sent it along to Gray Barker who (and not for the first time) ignored the advice. The letter purportedly comes from a person who claims "close association with one of the military services" and denounces Moseley as being in the pay of the U.S. Air Force, ostensibly to gull the public into

accepting the made on Earth theory.

The most prominent point arising from the letter is of course the fact that, if authentic, it does indeed solve the mystery of why Moseley has postulated this ridiculous theory for so long. As in many cases however, when read more carefully some confusing points are discernible in between the lines. The first to come to mind is why on earth should an editor of one magazine who receives a confidential letter pass it on to the editor of a rival magazine thereby increasing the chances of it being published against the writer's wishes? Also the item appears at a time when Saucer activity over the U.S. is at a low ebb and both editors may be short of copy. Barker in previous issues of his bulletin has hinted that Jim Moseley does not in fact go to Peru as he (JM) tells his correspondents, well your editor has been corresponding with JM for over two years and has received a letter from Peru in his handwriting, other correspondents have also received letters from him there.

However there is another point: if Jim Moseley is NOT connected with the U.S. Air Force the letter should be easily refuted for J.M. must have many personal friends who could vouch for him, also the Air Force can be expected to deny it. BUT if Barker and Moseley are "Confidential Collaborators" one can expect the feud to continue though it appears doubtful if anything useful towards the ultimate solution of the mystery or any part of it will result from such a venture. Presumably Moseley is still in Peru (or at the Air Force base in Miami as alleged) and we must await his return for further developments. Let us hope there is "something in it" for the problem is already overflowing with useless side-tracks which are serving only to hinder the genuine efforts of the comparatively few genuine investigators.

SAUCERS. SATAN OR SORCERERS?

— by Gerald H. Fellows —

While "Unidentified Objects" are generally acknowledged to exist; while their source has been reduced to

three probable realms of existence; while a number of authors have penned numerous books articles and periodicals, and some have claimed visual and personal contacts, nothing to date seems to agree as to what, when, why or who of the U.F.O.

The three sources generally considered are - (1) Some kind of secret weapon or weapons of some nation on Earth. (2) Space ships from another planet from within or beyond our solar system. (3) Supernatural entities from a spiritual realm of existence.

The possibility of them being secret weapons has been practically eliminated for while the UFO does indicate intelligent control their speed and exceptional manoeuvrability which no human being could stand preclude the possibility of this being the correct answer. It is also very unlikely that any nation would expose such a device to possible capture, (they have been seen all over the world). In the recent film, "The U.F.O." the secret weapon answer is entirely discounted and most authors agree on this point. If more proof is needed, perhaps we may mention with a smile that Russia has refrained from claiming the saucers as another "first" of theirs.

Space ships from other planets is the popular opinion held by those who claim visits from and personal contact with Saucers, Bible students and amateur theologians have no arguments against this possibility as long as those met are God fearing beings. However, astronomers and scientists alike voice the unlikelihood of intelligent life on other planets in our S.S.

To approach the supernatural is a difficult undertaking for us mortals, there are few known facts, a goodly number of theories and a strong inclination toward the U.F.O's supernatural properties, capabilities and apparent heredities to the spirit realm. If I am permitted to read between the lines, the "Saucerites" while claiming interplanetary travel for their space beings, seem to carry one theme in common that of a supernatural, spiritual or angelic tone. George Adamski, G. Van Tassel, Orfeo Angelucci, Truman Bethurum, Dana Howard and even the film "The U.F.O." show an undercurrent of spiritual tone and adding this to the findings of our trained and

long practiced astronomers, we can discount almost completely the thought that the saucers may be controlled by beings from other planets.

Cecil B. DeMille in a recent interview with A.S. Maxwell when asked, "Could you tell our readers why you value the Bible so highly?" answered, "All men should value truth, here is the source of truth." One of the first appearances of angels according to the Bible occurred at an historical parallel time to the present day when the manifestation of three men appeared unto Abraham at his tent. Note that the angels appeared in daylight, not in darkness and without a "Flying Saucer" or space ship. If angels wished to appear unto us today it does not seem reasonable that they should change their method of "materialization" and appear as F.S's, "Balls of fire" "Little men" and "Monsters."

But suppose we consider the "fallen angels" of that same realm - by way of an introduction we should read II Peter 2:1-4, then Jude 6 and other passages in Revelation 20: 2-3 also Matthew 24. etc. In order to perpetuate Satan's original lie that the dead are not really dead, the "fallen angels" have continually misled human beings by different tricks and manifestations, at present this seems to be proceeding at a greatly accelerated tempo. Could it be that in their enthusiasm to give us a better world to live in, G. Adamski, Van Tassel etc. etc., are being misled and are overlooking some of the truths given to us in the Bible? i.e. Adamski's question to his "Venusian" on that "memorable November 20th" in reference to death tells us very clearly, "And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment." The uncertainty in our minds and our continued quest for a clear answer to life after death makes us easy prey for Satan and his horde.

In this short space it is difficult to convey the thoughts received from four years of study on the subject but if one wishes to avail himself of the literature referred to, I believe he will agree with my personal conclusion. But agree or disagree I will follow the admonition of God's word in Luke 21:28: "And when these things begin to come to pass, then look up and lift up

your heads, for your redemption draweth nigh."

THE LUMINOSITY OF FLYING SAUCERS

by C.F. Krafft

Flying saucers are frequently, but not always luminous. Their luminosity is in some manner related to their acceleration, and when it does appear, it is uniform over the entire surface, except for a possible dark spot or band. It has been suggested that their luminosity may be the corona effect of high voltage. If they use ionic propulsion, then it may be expected that the entire spaceship will become electrostatically charged. That would be especially true if the propellant is some kind of "supermatter" which is itself electrically neutral, but which decomposes, in a manner similar to radioactivity, into large numbers of positive gaseous ions.

Supermatter differs from ordinary matter in that the atoms of supermatter are joined to one another by direct core-to-core connections, either with or without the usual chemical valence bonds. Such direct connections between the cores of the atoms would be obviously impossible under the prevailing nuclear theory of atomic structure, and the dogmatic adherence of the physics profession to the nuclear theory may be the main reason why supermatter with all its interesting possibilities has not yet been produced experimentally. Any time when we do succeed in producing supermatter, our present plans for interplanetary travel will become obsolete overnight. Is this only a dream? Not unless flying saucers themselves are only a dream. There is not the remotest possibility that the devices and contrivances that we are today familiar with can ever be remodelled and perfected so as to produce a genuine flying saucer, and not merely something that looks like a flying saucer.

Since there are many different chemical elements from which supermatter could be prepared, it should be possible to produce many different kinds of supermatter, but only some of these would be suitable for ionic propulsion. Other kinds, when used as a covering or coating

for the spaceship, could serve as a gravitational and inertial shield so as to render everything inside the spaceship immune from gravitational and inertial forces. A supermatter coating that functions in this manner may become heated to incandescence during this process so as to render the entire spaceship luminous, but such a supermatter coating could be lined on its inner surface with heat insulation so as not to burn up everything inside the spaceship.

For use as a spaceship coating, the supermatter should have a high melting point and should not be very active chemically. It should be made from a monovalent metal because in a polyvalent metal the multiplicity of valence bonds would prevent effective core-to-core connections between the atoms. The most suitable materials to use for this purpose would appear to be silver and copper.

The action of gravitational and inertial forces is confined almost entirely to protons, and the ether-vortex proton consists of a pair of vortex rings in rolling contact with outward polar flow. Since these vortex rings are elastic structures, the outward polar flow will not be uniform and continuous but will occur as puffs or pulsations, similar to the smoke rings produced by tapping the rear of a cardboard box with a hole in its front wall. The ether in these puffs or pulsations will therefore not flow entirely along radial lines, but some of it will also flow in transverse directions, just as in light waves where the displacement is likewise transverse. Such transverse displacements, if of sufficiently high frequency, may still be able to pass through solid metal where the atomic cores are spaced apart from one another, but would not be able to get through a coating of supermatter where the atomic cores are in direct connection with one another so as to form a continuous network or lattice made entirely of core material. Such a supermatter coating would also protect the pilots of the spaceship against the harmful effects of cosmic radiation, which cannot be done effectively by shielding with ordinary metal.

In order to function as such an inertial and

gravitational shield, it is not necessary for the super-matter coating to be impervious to both the inwardly and the outwardly moving ether. Since the ether is incompressible, the volume of ether that is taken in by the spaceship must be equal to the volume that is sent out, and vice versa. Even if the supermatter coating is freely permeable to the incoming ether, it would still be an effective gravitational and inertial shield if it stops only the outwardly moving puffs that emanate from the protons. But what then becomes of all these outwardly moving puffs if they cannot escape from the spaceship? They probably spend themselves on the supermatter coating which would then become heated, at times to incandescence, but the heat thus generated would soon be radiated out into space, the energy being thus returned to its original source.

TWO POINTS OF VIEW!

1. From Lee R. Munsick, Editor of the U.F.O. Newsletter

There has been an alarming tendency toward utter irresponsibility which this writer feels has been produced by the overlapping of two branches of Saucerdom; the logical methodically proceeding group, and the so called "fanatic-fringe" who feel, some sincerely - some not - that the answer lies in religious pseudo-religious, cultist and metaphysical applications. These two centres of feeling which have been obvious for at least six of the ten years since the Arnold sighting should remain mutually disassociated. When individuals and groups tread the line between them, dangerous tendencies are born which will only succeed in undermining both fields of thought. These unthinking mental acrobats result in irresponsibility in thought and in the printed word being poured out by over two score publications in the field. This writer fully feels the necessity for individuals in both camps to keep up with the whole of saucerdom; to correspond freely with anyone on the other side of the fence. But in so doing, be honest that while one defends

the right of the other person to say or believe what he does, one doesn't himself necessarily agree. My own correspondence touches many with whom I say, "I don't agree with your views and theories, but an exchange of them is the only honest and forthright method of attaining any solution." But when an advocate of one camp shows up as an important officer or leader of the other, he is being dishonest and unfair and all kinds of trouble start. There are several cases of this and it is a dangerous trend; one which has just recently in part caused the loss of one of the best UFO publications from the field - it is a suicidal tendency and one which must be stopped.

Lee R. Munsick.

Editor: "U.F.O. Newsletter"

2. From Jose Escobar Faria, Editor U.F.O. Critical Bulletin, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

"Ignotum per ignotius", or "The unknown explained by the still more unknown". It seems that the Latin maxim fits like a glove on the field of so called UFO psychical research. I do not deny the genuineness of some scientific investigations of paranormal phenomena, the experiments conducted by Dr. J.B. Rhine for instance. Name them as you will, mental cybernetics, mental radio, telepathy etc., they undoubtedly belong to a new branch of psychology - parapsychology, the latter is beginning to play an important role in modern researches of our century. However these new researches demand a cultural background and not just religious feeling as some now appear to think for in the so called Saucer psychical research has developed a picture of confusion and contradiction causing a headache for the more genuine Saucer researcher.

It is obvious that parapsychology has nothing to do with UFO's and neither have some "Itching saucerites" who belong to religious minded groups, I see in such groups something like a new and indistinct purpose of escapism, their members want to wander above the clouds, not as poets because poets are artists, but rather with the aid of "Flying crutches" as a support for clownish scientificism.

Another characteristic form of "Itching saucerite" is the current method of interpretation in the light of the Bible. It would be better if some of the "prophets of doom" were to limit their reading of the Book to investigate the "dogma of faith".

Perhaps the ancient miracles played a role but as myths and symbols only, the "Ramayana" and the "Mahabharata", the epic poems of ancient India are examples of this. In the same manner the stopping of the passage of the sun by Joshua, and the miracle of the ravens and Elijah, are not the relation of true happenings but only sibylline texts of which we can never know the truth.

However that may be, if only these UFO Bible interpreters would read the monumental translation in French of the Pentateuch - the five books of Moses - by Antoine Fabre d'Olivet, entitled "La Langue Hebraique Restituee", Paris 1815, they would find that many of the passages in our present Bible are not as correct as they think. The present Old Testament came to us from the Latin "Vulgate" which in its turn came from the Alexandrine Septuagint. There are other ancient sources which may be considered as being even more accurate but our Bible has, nevertheless, suffered from many "treasons of the text" due to mistranslation.

Two distinguished builders of "Castles in Spain" are George Adamski and Gabriel Green. Mr. Adamski is now "in touch with" Jupiterians and Neptunians who he says are in Mexico as becomes plain when we take the text of a letter from G.A. to Mr. W. Buhler, resident in Rio de Janeiro. "There are several people from other planets now living in Mexico and Guatemala, they work and travel just as any other people, and here I have met men from Jupiter and Neptune, I hope to have the privilege to visit other planets". Mr. Gabriel Green has formed the "Economic Security Party", (a strange name for a saucer group, ed.). Gabriel Green publishes "Thy Kingdom Come" and says, "I, Gabriel Green, say we have been ruled long enough by man made laws .....

Many groups throughout the world now have "mental chats" with space people, we have one here in Brazil, "Amo-Pax Monasterio". All these types of "research" and

more, too numerous to mention show us why the subject of Flying Saucers has been mocked by irresponsible persons and public opinion and I say it is time to suppress all claims wherein reasoning and logic are absent.

(The above is a shortened version of a lengthy article.  
Ed.)

#### A FLURRY OF ACTIVITY


There have been five reports of UFO sightings within an area of some 30 sq miles centred around your ed's home town of Wigan in Lancashire. All sightings were claimed during a period of ten days commencing 11th June and after a long wait, five years in fact, your humble editor can claim to be a witness. However, this particular incident together with three others pales into insignificance beside the observation of Mr. G. Marsden of Chorley near Wigan. Mr. Marsden is an astronomer of many years standing and is a member of several societies including the Lunar and Planetary Observers of New Mexico. Here is an abbreviated version of Mr. Marsden's own story.

"I took my 10 year old son cycling on Saturday afternoon (15th June, ed.) and we sat on a grass verge near Mawdesley for a rest. Presently I observed a point of light and wondered what it could be. I always carry a pocket telescope with me and I focussed this on the point of light. The time was six minutes past six in the evening and the object was moving in a West North Westerly direction, its speed I estimated to be 500 - 600 mph and the altitude at 6-7,000 ft. The craft itself I estimated to be some 25 ft in diameter and it was silver in colour but with a bluish tint. The sky was clear and visibility was very good; I observed it for 12 seconds in horizontal flight until it whisked vertically upwards for a period of some 15 seconds; it passed out of sight. I checked the wind velocity and judged it to be of force four which more or less ruled out the possibility of it being a balloon. The only planetary body it resembled is Saturn which wouldn't have been visible for another 5 hours and then would have been in exactly the opposite

direction."

Mr. Marsden went on to say that this was in the form of a public "confession" for him because during the many astronomical lectures he has given, whenever he has been asked about Flying Saucers he has always said that he thought them "somewhat absurd". The sketch is the original as supplied by Mr. Marsden.

Your ed' hopes to obtain a tape recorded interview with Mr. Marsden and it is intended that a copy of this should be forwarded to the Air Ministry in London. They (used to) inform visitors at the A.M. that they investigated personal reports only and not "second hand" accounts. Well nothing can be more "first hand" than a tape recording. Frequent letters of enquiry from your editor and



Sketch from Mr. G. Marsden  
of 23 Scawfell Road,  
Chorley.

others have remained unanswered yet the A.M. deign to re-issue the statement that what people are really seeing are "balloons", meteors et al; this is rather like giving Diana Dors a lecture on the "Birds and the bees". This particular statement appeared in a prominent daily newspaper but the same newspaper refused to publish an article or even a small paragraph giving a few small truths of the matter. The press in England is not censored but their staffs include science

editors who have friends in the "right places" and with a knowledge of the methods employed in official departments, these reporters know only too well the official viewpoint on the subject of Flying Saucers. No... the press is not censored.....

A NEW KEY TO THE U.F.O'S APPEARANCES  
From "Infinity Newsletter" March

"This is not just a theory, we have used this key successfully for several years and it is not so complicated as it looks.

Many years of research has convinced me that the Sun has a powerful magnetic field which induces a field in the Planets of our system. Near the surface of the Earth it becomes our weak, variable "Earth Magnetic Field" ("EMF"). I have always believed that UFO's travel via magnetic fields throughout space and if this is so they would obviously travel on the Magnetic Equator of such a field for maximum control of their craft. I shall call this Equator a Sun sets up the "Sun's magnetic equator" ("SME"). At different hours and seasons the SME falls in specific areas, UFO'S APPEAR IN THESE AREAS AT THESE TIMES. It is therefore possible to chart and predict when and where to look for them. Old readers who have wondered why our predictions could be so accurate can now see it wasn't "Magic" after all... "Elementary my dear Watson!"

Now here is the KEY in an easy to follow form.

In the Summer months the SME falls on the 40 deg parallel in the U.S.A. and Europe by day.

In the Summer months the SME falls in S. America, S. Africa and Australia by night.

In the Winter months the SME falls in S. America, S. Africa and Australia by day.

In the Winter months the SME falls on the 40 deg parallel in the U.S.A. and Europe by night.

In the Spring and Fall months the SME falls between these two points over a very wide area being definite only at the Earth's equator exactly at mid-day and exactly at midnight. (See illustration).

This is just a rough outline of the KEY and given proper scientific calculation it can be made accurate to the second, it might even solve the age old mystery of bird migration."


It seems as though this "Newsletter" has been going

longer than most other Saucerzines, it was known in 1941 as "The Planet", indeed the caption on the front cover says, "Our thirteenth year recording the unknown." Well we anxiously await the next issue for great things are promised: "In our next issue, startling facts".

"In our next issue we shall attempt the most important and daring article ever published, it has been said that other researchers have been silenced because they had stumbled on to this information. WE didn't stumble on to it - we hunted it down. We have decided to print where we believe the SECRET BASES OF THE FLYING SAUCERS ARE. Don't miss this one.....it might be our last issue".

Now then you statistical minded readers, does this fit in with observations, and you technical minded boffins, do you think the KEY is any good??? D.W.

### THE KEY



A LETTER FROM MR. EDGAR SIEVERS
---------------------------------

(received just too late to go in the last issue of URANUS Ed.)

Pretoria, 4.5.57.

DEAR ALONZO DOVE

Having had the opportunity to read your complete article, I only can say that your heading "Photo mix-up" must have been a heavenly inspiration. Only, it appears to me, that it not so much consists in a muddle and mess on Adamski's part than on other people's. I tried hard to boil it all down and think now that I am correct in saying that what you wanted to convey was the contention that the frontispiece of FSHL was not taken on the 13th December, but on an earlier date. This all to suggest the inference that GA is unreliable in his statements, if not worse. Good. After this momentous revelation what do you expect us readers, strewn throughout the world, to do? Hire a detective to let him check up on your past correspondences, when in comparison to this it was so much the easier for you people in the States to find out all about Adamski on the spot and long ago?

Funny too, that this "photo mix-up" is being supported by excerpts from correspondence four years after the event. In springing this important point three years ago, when presses were still hot from pouring out anything that could be flung back into GA's teeth, you would have done your cause a better service, acquiring, too, well-earned world renown. This not having come to pass, may we suspect that either people seemed to have considered this "mix-up" point negligible, rather smelling something fishy, or that the Clara Louise John-Lonzo Dove team lacked the necessary courage or - wait a minute, could it be that you good people then still believed these photos WERE of a saucer from Venus? Ah, what prospects! And how nearer we have come to the real issue, namely: are Adamski's photos still showing space craft from Venus or not!? Evidence AFTER the exchange of letters between CLJ and you, and by developments through four more years, shows

that they do!

To your friendly remark: "where is Mr. Sievers' 'truth which alone can hammer the last nail' to lay this Adamski story in its final resting place?", may I modestly point out that it certainly cannot be you who has wielded that hammer and has prepared that resting place since, as the matter stands, we have very well heard your part in this mix-up. Could it be that the other party, George Adamski, should have a view and explanation of the dating of the frontispiece which is somewhat different to your revelation? I think so.

Now that we have had pieces of mind from Jerrold Baker, his wife (see - formerly - "Nexus"), from Clara Louise John and from Lonzo Dove, I still would say that I prefer Adamski's atmosphere much more. As for my part and as editors have it, this correspondence is now closed. I have still much more work to do in finding out all about the people who HAVE come here from Venus long before we became able to squabble over them.

Yours truly,

Edgar Sievers.

ODD BITS

From one of our overseas correspondents has come what may well be the first piece of MATERIAL EVIDENCE to confirm the extra-terrestrial origin of Flying Saucers. The information was given in confidence but permission to publish is being sought. The source of information is above suspicion and we hope to give full details in our next issue.

+++

Further tape recordings have been received from Dr. Dittmar in America. (Have you written him yet?) One, a talk and interview featuring Howard Menger lasts for 90 minutes and is somewhat one sided; the second, a lecture given by Frank Edwards the famous American news

broadcaster is excellent, giving information hitherto unpublished.

+++

Predictions are in the news again: one by Gray Barker says, "There will be a major breakthrough on the release of Saucer information this summer to be brought about by an incident which many will interpret as an overt threat from whoever or whatever are in the Saucers". (This sounds more like 'know-how' than a prediction. Ed.)

+++

THE WORLD TO END IN 1991? In the year 1488, Ursula Sonteil, better known as "Old Mother Shipton", was born in an obscure English village. At birth her limbs were found to be deformed and her parents immediately wanted to get rid of her; she grew up under the care of a parish nurse. Upon attainment of womanhood she earned a reputation as a soothsayer and people came from far and wide to listen to her prophesies. In her lifetime she predicted many events: the great fire of London, which occurred long after her death; she further stated that men would sail round the world; that they would fly in the air and that they would travel under rivers and oceans. But her most terrifying prophecy has yet to be fulfilled. Old Mother Shipton's last prophetic verse went: "The world then to an end will come, in nineteen hundred and ninety one".

+++

That Saucer was a cow??? Remember the Hungarian refugee who said he saw three little men and a Flying Saucer at the French village of Beaucourt-sur-Landre... Mayor Chatlain explained, "The Flying Saucer, that's

my wife." He went on to explain how his wife had been worrying about the cows and had gone off into the fields carrying a lantern with one red pane of glass. She was the Saucer and the "Martians" were the cows.

Ah well - we live and learn. Ed.

+++

Also from "Clips Quotes and Comments:

Dr. Lee Forrester, a prominent American scientist, predicted that man will never reach the moon regardless of all future scientific advances. Dr. Forrester, sometimes described as "the father of electronics" said that man is inherently an Earthly creature and only his scientific imagination will ever make him a planetary emigrant.

"To place a man in a multi-stage rocket and project him into the controlling gravitational field of the moon where the passenger can make scientific observations, perhaps land alive and then return to Earth..... all that constitutes a wild dream worthy of Jules Verne."

"I am bold enough to say that such a man-made Moon voyage will never be made regardless of future scientific advances."

+++

THE COMING OF THE GUARDIANS

by

Meade Layne

For those who are interested a few copies  
of the revised edition of this are now  
available at 32/6 each, post free.

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS, LTD.,  
31 Kings Road,  
London, S.W.3.

+++

VISIT OF MR. GRAY BARKER

Mr. Gray Barker, the well known American  
authority on Flying Saucers, U.F.O's, etc.,  
is paying a short visit to England in  
September next. No further details as  
yet available.

+++